SCR-18 — Counterfeit Service Animal Registry & Pet Policy Defense Guide

A Complete Handbook and Web Resource for Housing Providers, Condominium Associations, and Property Managers

Published by Wounded Duck Studios LLC & SCAMREALESTATE.COM
Author: Mark Druckmiller, REALTOR®, Real Estate One (Saline / Washtenaw County, Michigan)

Housing Provider Defense: Navigating HUD Assistance Animal Guidelines, Debunking Internet Certificate Mills, and Managing Fair Housing Pet Requests

Executive Summary: The Assistance Animal Conflict

Few areas of residential property management create more confusion, anxiety, and legal exposure than the intersection of "No Pets" lease policies and the federal **Fair Housing Act (FHA)**.

Over the past decade, a multi-million-dollar online cottage industry has emerged: counterfeit service animal and emotional support animal (ESA) certification mills. Websites with names like "Official ESA Registry," "National Service Animal Registry," or "US Service Dogs" sell laminated plastic ID cards, metallic badges, red embroidered vests, and generic PDF "registration certificates" for $49 to $99.

Unscrupulous tenants purchase these internet certificates to:

1. Bypass strict "No Pets" policies in single-family rentals and condominium buildings.
2. Evade pet deposits, non-refundable pet fees, and monthly "pet rent" (often saving $500 to $1,500 annually).
3. Circumvent insurance breed restrictions (introducing aggressive dog breeds like Pit Bulls, Rottweilers, or Dobermans that can trigger insurance cancellations).

Trapped between the fear of costly Fair Housing discrimination investigations (which can result in federal civil rights penalties exceeding $20,000 for a first offense) and the desire to protect their real estate investments, independent landlords frequently capitulate to bogus certificates.

However, in 2020, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) issued landmark official guidance (**FHA Guidance on Assistance Animals - FHEO-2020-01**). Under federal law, **internet certificates, online registries, and plastic ID badges are legally insufficient to establish a disability-related need for an animal**.

This handbook provides an objective, legally compliant operational roadmap for evaluating assistance animal accommodation requests, verifying healthcare documentation, and protecting your property without violating civil rights laws.

Section 1: Legal Classifications — Service Animals vs. Support Animals

Understanding the statutory distinction is critical:

Category	Governing Statute	Definition & Qualifications	Allowable Species	Public vs. Housing Rights
Service Animal	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)	A dog individually trained to do work or perform tasks for the benefit of an individual with a disability (e.g., guide dogs, seizure alert dogs).	Dogs (and miniature horses under specific rules).	Permitted in all public accommodations and housing.
Support Animal (ESA)	Fair Housing Act (FHA)	An animal that provides emotional support, comfort, or therapeutic relief that alleviates symptoms of a disability. Does NOT require specialized task training.	Any common domesticated household animal (dogs, cats, small birds, rabbits).	Housing only (does not apply to restaurants, hotels, or stores).

Section 2: The Two Lawful Questions for Service Animals

Under the ADA and Fair Housing Act, if a tenant's disability is not obvious, housing providers may ask ONLY two specific questions regarding a service dog:

1. "Is the animal required because of a disability?"
2. "What work or task has the animal been trained to perform?"

What You CANNOT Ask:

- You cannot ask about the nature, diagnosis, or severity of the tenant's medical disability.
- You cannot demand medical records, doctors' notes, or proof of training for a service dog if the task is identified.
- You cannot require the dog to demonstrate the task.

Section 3: The HUD 2020 Verification Rules for Emotional Support Animals (ESAs)

For emotional support animals—where the disability or disability-related need is not readily observable—housing providers possess clear statutory verification rights under **HUD Notice FHEO-2020-01**:

1. Internet Certificates Are Legally Void

- Under official HUD rules: *"In HUD's experience, such documentation from the internet is not, by itself, sufficient to reliably establish that an individual has a non-observable disability or disability-related need for an assistance animal."*
- Websites that issue instant certificates after an applicant answers a 2-minute online quiz do not meet federal standards.

2. What Constitutes Legitimate Documentation:

- The tenant must provide reliable written documentation from a **licensed healthcare professional** (e.g., physician, psychiatrist, clinical psychologist, licensed clinical social worker, nurse practitioner).
- The professional must:
    - Be licensed in the state where the tenant resides or where treatment occurs.
    - Have personal knowledge of the individual.
    - Provide services in an ongoing therapeutic or clinical capacity (not a one-time paid consultation mill).
- The documentation must confirm:
    - The individual has a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities.
    - The animal provides emotional support or relief that alleviates at least one identified symptom of the disability.

3. State Healthcare Licensure Audits:

- Landlords have the legal right to verify that the professional who signed the letter holds an active, unencumbered state license by searching the state medical licensing database (e.g., Michigan LARA - Bureau of Professional Licensing).

Section 4: Lawful Grounds to Deny an Assistance Animal

A landlord is not required to accept an assistance animal under four specific legal conditions:

1. **Direct Threat to Health and Safety:** The specific animal poses a direct threat to the health or safety of others that cannot be eliminated by reasonable accommodation (e.g., the animal has a documented history of biting or unprovoked aggression).
2. **Substantial Physical Property Damage:** The specific animal has caused substantial physical damage to the property that cannot be mitigated.
3. **Fundamental Alteration or Undue Financial Burden:** The accommodation would impose an undue financial and administrative burden on the housing provider (e.g., the animal causes the property owner's commercial insurance policy to be canceled or dramatically surcharged with no alternative insurer available).
4. **Exempt Housing Under the Fair Housing Act:**

    - **The "Mrs. Murphy" Exemption:** Owner-occupied buildings with four or fewer units.
    - **Single-Family Home Sales/Rentals:** Single-family homes sold or rented by an owner who owns three or fewer single-family homes, provided no real estate broker or discriminatory advertising is used.

Section 5: Best Practices for Housing Providers

- **Eliminate Pet Fees for Assistance Animals:** Under the Fair Housing Act, landlords **cannot charge pet deposits, pet application fees, or monthly pet rent** for verified assistance animals.
- **Enforce General Behavioral Standards:** While assistance animals are exempt from pet rules, tenants remain 100% financially liable for any physical property damage caused by their animal, and the animal must comply with local leash laws, waste cleanup rules, and noise ordinances.

© 2026 Wounded Duck Studios LLC & ScamRealEstate.com. General consumer education only — not legal or financial advice. Questions: irving@woundedduckstudios.com · Call or text 657-305-5210